



Protect Diseworth's Submissions for EXP's Deadline 1

1. Introduction

- a) These submissions consist of:
 - i) This document
 - ii) A copy of the ExP's list of questions with PD's comments endorsed in blue
 - iii) PD's Ecology report
 - iv) Updated Flood Working Group's report
 - v) PD's BMV land analysis
- b) This document supplements others already filed in this matter on behalf of PD, including Relevant Representations filed within the original deadline.
- c) Generally, as previously, the absence of comment upon any issue within the Examination Library should not be read as acceptance, and PD's overall position remains unchanged, namely, that this proposal is entirely inappropriate in all respects with the effect that the Applicant's DCO and MCO applications should be refused.

2. Procedural Point

As directed by the ExP in Annex A to its Rule 8 Letter, PD requests that it should be given the opportunity to be heard at any Open Floor Hearings that might be directed.

3. Summary of General Position

PD remains opposed to this application at every level. The "usual" elements of dispute to be expected in any type of planning application (LVIA, noise, ecology, air quality, highways and transport, culture and heritage etc) here all remain highly contested.

Additionally, this matter is underpinned by the existence of the East Midlands Freeport. Noting the ExP's Rule 17 letter, PD will make further submissions (including upon RR 014) after its provision.